Contents lists available at Science-Gate



International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences

Journal homepage: http://www.science-gate.com/IJAAS.html



# Salicylic acid and jasmonic acid application in some physiological performances

Kivashi Imano, Shuheri Tanokora\*

Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Yamaguchi University, 1677-1 Yoshida, Yamaguchi 753-8515, Japan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 November 2014 Received in revised form 24 December 2014 Accepted 6 January 2015

Keywords: Chlorophyll content Jasmonic acid Safflower Salicylic acid Salinity

1. Introduction

## ABSTRACT

A greenhouse experiment with factorial arrangement based on randomized complete block design with three replications was conducted in 2014 to evaluate the effects of salicylic acid (1 mM) and jasmonic acid (0.5 mM) on some physiological trairs of safflower plant under salt stress (control, 4, 8, and 12 dS/m). Leaf chlorophyll content index (CCI), photosystem II efficiency (Fv/Fm), relative water content (RWC), leaf area index (LAI) and grain yield per plant decreased with increasing salinity. The CCI, Fv/Fm, RWC and LAI were significantly higher for plants treated with jasmonic acid and salicylic acid under saline and non-saline conditions, compared with control. These superiorities in physiological performance of hormone treated plants led to significant advantage in grain yield of safflower under different salinity treatments. Therefore, salicylic acid and jasmonic acid can be used to promote growth and development of safflower under favorable and unfavorable environmental conditions, which ultimately can enhance grain yield.

Salinity is the most devastating environmental stress that causes a reduction in plant growth and productivity. The increasing global population is putting a strain on food production in such a way that there is now higher demand for foods and this will force the use of saline soil and water for agricultural production (Ashraf, 2009). Reduced plant growth under salinity is a consequence of several physiological responses including modification of plant water status, photosynthetic efficiency and carbon allocation and utilization (Abdul Jaleel et al., 2007). Inhibited plant growth may be caused by decreased turgidity from high concentrations of salts in the soil under water deficit conditions (Kim and Lee, 2001). One viable strategy of overcoming the salt-induced injurious effects on plant growth is the exogenous application of osmoprotectants, growth regulators and stress signaling molecules (Faroog et al., 2010). Survival under these stressful conditions depends on the plant's ability to perceive the stimulus, generate and transmit signals and instigate biochemical changes that adjust the metabolism accordingly (Hasegawa et al., 2000).

Salicylic acid (SA) plays an important role in the defense response to pathogen attack and biotic and abiotic stresses in plant species (Shi *et al.*, 2006). Many studies report the role of SA in inducing stress

© 2015 IASE Publisher All rights reserved.

tolerance in plants. For example, SA has been found to induce drought tolerance in wheat (Singh and Usha, 2003), salinity tolerance in barley (El-Tayeb, 2005), heat tolerance in mustard (Dat et al., 1998), chilling tolerance in maize (Janda et al., 1999) and heavy metal stress tolerance in barley (Metwally et al., 2003). Jasmonic acid (JA) is another naturally occurring plant growth regulator which can affect many morphological, physiological and biochemical processes in plants (Norastehnia et al., 2007). Foliar application of JA modulates several physiological responses, leading to improved resistance against abiotic stresses (Walia et al., 2007). JA application to the stressed plants reduces the amount of lipid peroxidation and stimulates the synthesis of antioxidant enzymes, enhancing the content and yield of artemisinin as well (Aftab et al., 2011).

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is a taprooted multipurpose crop which can tolerate environmental stresses including salinity and water stress (Lovelli *et al.*, 2007). It is one of the most important oilseed cultivated plants used for edible oil production in the world (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2005). The importance of oil crops such as safflower has increased in recent years, especially with the interest in the vegetable oil for the human consumption (Dordas and Sioulas, 2008). Generally, safflower is cultivated on marginal lands that are mostly affected by water and salt stresses (Dordas and Sioulas, 2008). Its cultivation on such soils could only be made profitable by applying chemicals or plant

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

growth regulators exogenously. Thus, this research was aimed to investigate the effect of exogenous application of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid on some physiological traits and grain and oil yields of safflower under salt stress.

## 2. Material and methods

A pot experiment with a factorial arrangement on the bases of randomized complete block (RCB) design with three replications was conducted in 2014 (Tabriz, Iran) to investigate the effect of exogenous foliar application of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid on physiological performance of safflower under salt stress. In each plastic pot (20  $\times$ 20 cm) containing 1.0 kg of perlite 20 seeds of safflower were sown at a depth of 3cm and then tap water (0.8 dS/m) and saline solutions (4, 8 and 12 dS/m) were added to achieve 100% FC. All pots kept inside a glass greenhouse under natural light. Minimum and maximum temperatures of greenhouse were 25 and 30 °C, respectively. After germination, plants were thinned to 10 plants per pot. During the growth period, the pots were weighed and the losses were made up with Hoagland solution (EC =1.3 dS/m and pH= 6.5-7). Perlites within the pots were washed every 20 days and nonsaline and salinity treatments were reapplied in order to prevent further increase in electrical conductivity (EC), due to adding the Hoagland solution. Salicylic acid (1 mM) and jasmonic acid (0.5 mM) were separately sprayed on plants at two vegetative and one flowering stages.

Photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (Fv/Fm) was measured using a portable chlorophyll fluorometer. Measurements were made after 20 min dark adaptation (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000) from 3

plants. Chlorophyll content index of leaves was measured by a chlorophyll meter (CCM- 200). Relative water content was determined according to Barr and Weatherley (1962). Fresh weight of the youngest fully expanded leaf was recorded within 24 h after excision. Turgid weight was obtained after soaking the leaf for 24 h in distilled water. After that, the leaves were quickly and carefully dried with tissue paper prior to determination of turgid weight. Leaf dry weight was obtained after drying the sample for 48 h at 75°C. Relative water content was calculated as:

RWC = [(fresh weight – dry weight) / (turgid weight – dry weight)] × 100 [1]

Leaf area was measured at the flowering stage using a leaf area meter (ADC-AM300). At maturity, all plants from each pot were harvested. Then grains were detached from the pods and grain yield per plant was determined. Analysis of variance of the data appropriate to the experimental design and comparison of means at p≤0.05 were carried out, using MSTATC software.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Chlorophyll content index (CCI)

The analysis of variance of data showed significant effects of salinity on safflower chlorophyll content index. However, no significant effects of exogenous foliar application of SA and JA on this trait were found. Interaction of salinity × hormone for this trait was not statistically different (Table 1). The lowest chlorophyll content of safflower was recorded for S<sub>4</sub> (12 dS/m), but there was no significant difference in CCI of S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> (Table 2).

|              |     |                      |                     | MS                  |         |                     |  |  |
|--------------|-----|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|--|--|
| S.O.V        | d.f | CCI                  | Fv/Fm               | RWC                 | LAI     | Grain yield         |  |  |
| Replication  | 2   | 401.73               | 0.001               | 27.25               | 0.016   | 0.012               |  |  |
| Salinity (S) | 3   | 469.93**             | 0.011**             | 376.47**            | 1.166** | 0.787**             |  |  |
| Hormone (H)  | 2   | 117.18 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.038**             | 568.58**            | 0.283** | 0.272**             |  |  |
| S×H          | 6   | 176.67 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.001 <sup>ns</sup> | 25.91 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.026** | 0.011 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Error        | 22  | 90.55                | 0.001               | 22.64               | 0.004   | 0.009               |  |  |
| C.V (%)      | -   | 17.18                | 4.31                | 6.42                | 3.42    | 6.35                |  |  |
|              |     |                      |                     |                     |         |                     |  |  |

 Table 1: Analysis of variance of the data of safflower plants under different salinity treatments and hormonal applications

ns ,\*\*: No significant and significant at p<0.01, respectively

| <b>Table 2.</b> Means of chlorophyll content index (CCI), Fv/Fm, relative water content (RWC), leaf area index (LAI) and grain yield |
|--|
| for different salt stress and hormonal applications  |

| Treatments           | CCI    | Fv/Fm    | RWC<br>(%) | LAI   | Grain yield<br>(g/plant) |
|----------------------|--------|----------|------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Salinity             |        |          |            |       |                          |
| S1                   | 60.9 a | 0.799a   | 83.4 a     | 2.4 a | 1.8 a                    |
| S <sub>2</sub>       | 57.7 a | 0.761 ab | 73.3 b     | 1.8 b | 1.5 b                    |
| S <sub>3</sub>       | 58.1 a | 0.738 b  | 70.1 b     | 1.7 c | 1.3 c                    |
| S4                   | 44.7 b | 0.718 c  | 69.4 b     | 1.6 d | 1.1 d                    |
| Hormonal application |        |          |            |       |                          |
| Control              | 51.8 a | 0.690 b  | 66.2 b     | 1.7 b | 1.3 b                    |
| SA                   | 57.8 a | 0.779 a  | 76.8 a     | 2.0 a | 1.5 a                    |
| JA                   | 56.5 a | 0.793 a  | 79.2 a     | 2.0 a | 1.5 a                    |

Different letters in each column indicate significant difference at P≤0.05. S1, S2, S3, S4: 0, 4, 8 and 12 dS/m NaCl salinity, respectively SA: 1 mM salicylic acid and JA: 0.5 mM jasmonic acid

#### 3.2. Efficiency of Photosystem II (Fv/Fm)

Fv/Fm was significantly affected by salinity and foliar application of SA and JA. However, interaction of salinity × foliar application for this trait was not significant (Table 1). Efficiency of photosystem II significantly decreased with increasing salt stress. The Fv/Fm for JA and SA treated plants was statistically similar, but significantly higher than that for control (Table 2).

#### 3.3. Relative Water Content (RWC)

Salinity and exogenous foliar application of SA and JA had significant effects on relative water content (RWC) of safflower leaves (Table 1). Leaf relative water content was decreased as salt stress increased. However, there was no significant difference between plants under  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  (Table

2). Exogenous foliar application of JA and SA similarly improved relative water content of safflower leaves, compared with control (Table 2).

#### 3.4. Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Leaf area index of safflower was significantly influenced by salinity and exogenous foliar application of JA and SA (Table 1). Interaction of salinity × hormone for LAI was also significant. Leaf area index of safflower was decreased with increasing salt stress (Table 2). Foliar application of SA and JA similarly enhanced leaf area index of safflower plants (Table 3). This improvement for plants under non-saline condition and 12 dS/m salinity was greater than that under other salinity treatments (Fig 1).



Fig. 1: Leaf area index of safflower plants under salt stress and hormonal applications. Different letters indicate significant difference at P<0.05. SA: 1 mM salicylic acid and JA: 0.5 mM jasmonic acid

### 3.5. Grain yield

Grain yield was significantly influenced by salinity and hormonal application (Table 1). Interaction of salinity × hormone for grain yield was not significant (Table 1). Grain yield diminished with increasing salinity. Foliar treatments of plants with SA and JA significantly enhanced grain yield of safflower from 1.3 g/plant to 1.5 g/plant (Table 2).

# 4. Discussion

Chlorophyll is the main pigment of photosynthesis in plants. To some extent, the Chlorophyll content can reflect the photosynthesis rate of plant. It is strongly influenced by environmental factors (Qiu *et al.*, 2007). Reduction in

CCI under severe salinity (Table 2) can be attributed to a salt-induced weakening of protein-pigment-lipid complex (Strogonove *et al.*, 1970) or increased chlorophyllase enzyme activity (Noreen and Ashraf, 2009). The decrease in chlorophyll content under salt stress is commonly reported phenomenon which adversely affects membrane stability (Hajer *et al.*, 2006; Ashraf and Bhatti, 2002). Reduction in leaf chlorophyll content index due to severe salinity stress can potentially limit photosynthesis and yield.

The low Fv/Fm values under saline condition (Table 2) may be related with the initial damage occurring in PSII, likely due to low water availability. This reduction in Fv/Fm under salt stress is dependent on damage to reaction centers and reducing electron transport capacity in PSII (Basu *et al.*, 1998). Exogenous foliar application of SA and JA significantly improved chlorophyll fluorescence of

safflower by increasing PSII efficiency (Table 2). Increasing PSII efficiency may be related with the effects of SA and JA on density of reaction centres per PSII antenna chlorophyll, quantum yield for electron transport and conformational changes in D<sub>1</sub> protein (Bulkhov et al., 1999), causing alterations in the properties of PSII electron acceptors (Andréasson et al., 1995). Salicylic acid may accelerate the repair and turnover of D<sub>1</sub> protein and thus protect photosynthetic system by inducing protein kinase activity and reversible phosphorylation of protein (Hui-Jie et al., 2011).

One of the early symptoms of salinity stress in plant tissue is the decrease of relative water content (RWC). This reduction of RWC in stressed plants may be associated with a decrease in plant vigor and was observed in many plant species (Halder and Burrage, 2003). The decrease in leaf RWC (Table 2) could be related with ion toxicities, ion imbalance and osmotic stress (Cicek and Cakirlar, 2002). Higher RWC of plants treated with SA and JA may be associated with accumulation of so-called JA-induced and SA-induced proteins that were found in all plant species (Pre *et al.*, 2008).

Final leaf size depends on both cell division and cell elongation. Leaf initiation, which is governed by cell division, was shown to be unaffected by salt stress, but leaf extension was found to be a saltsensitive process (Papp et al., 1983). Thus, cell division in leaves appears to be less salt sensitive than cell elongation. On the other hand, cell numbers in leaves were reduced by salinity (Munns and Termaat, 1986). Reduction in leaf area due to salt stress (Table 2) may be resulted from the nutritional imbalance due to an interference of salt ions, such as Na+ and Cl- with K+ involved in both uptake and translocation processes (Errabi et al., 2007). Potassium is a major plant macro-nutrient that plays important roles related to stomatal behavior, osmoregulation, enzyme activity, cell expansion, neutralization of non-diffusible negatively charged ions and membrane polarization. Toxic effects of Na+ are largely due to its ability to compete with K<sup>+</sup> for binding site essential for cellular function (Yildirim et al., 2009). Beneficial effects of SA and JA application on leaf area expansion of safflower plants (Figure 1) may be related with enhancing essential nutrients uptake (Mady, 2009), detoxifying super oxide radicals (Joseph et al., 2010), reducing lipid peroxidation (Aftab et al., 2011), increasing RWC (Table 2) by augmenting osmo-regulant proline production, improving photosynthetic pigments and consequently enhancing photosynthesis and growth (Ullah et al., 2012).

Salt stress considerably reduced grain yield of safflower, due to reductions in leaf chlorophyll content, relative water content and photosystem II efficiency (Table 2). Inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis (Table 2, Delfine *et al.*, 1999), reduction of PSII reaction center efficiency (Strasser *et al.*, 2000) and decrease in relative water content under salinity (Table 2) can influence leaf area expansion, plant growth (Fig 1, Kalaji and Guo, 2008) and grain yield. The superiorities of SA and JA treated safflower plants in growth and grain yield directly related with enhanced CCI, Fv/Fm, RWC and LAI (Table 2).

# References

- Abdul Jaleel C, Gopi R, Sankar B, Manivannan P, Kishorekumar A, Sridharan R and Panneerselvam R (2007). Studies on germination, seeding vigour, lipid peroxidation and proline metabolism in *Catharanthus roseus* seedings under salt stress. Journal of Botany, 73, 190-195.
- Aftab T, Masroor M, Khan A, Idrees M, Naeem M and Hashmi N (2011). Methyl jasmonate counteracts boron toxicity by preventing oxidative stress and regulating antioxidant enzyme activities and artemisinin biosynthesis in *Artemisia annua* L. Protoplasma, 248, 601-612.
- Andréasson LE, Vass I and Styring S (1995). Ca<sup>2+</sup> depletion modifies the electron transfer on the both donor and acceptor sides in photosystem II from spinach. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1230, 155-164.
- Ashraf MY and Bhatti AS (2002). Effect of salinity on growth and chlorophyll content in rice. Pakistan Journal of Scientific Industrial Research, 43, 130-131.
- Ashraf MY 2009. Biotechnological approach of improving plant salt tolerance using antioxidants as markers. Biotechnology Advances, 27, 84-93.
- Barr H and Weatherley P (1962). A re-examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficit in leaves. Australian Journal of Biological Sciences, 15, 413–428.
- Basu PS, Sharma A and Sukumaran NP (1998). Changes in net photosynthetic rate and chlorophyll fluorescence in potato leaves induced by water stress. Hotosynthetica, 35, 13–19.
- Bulkhov N, Wiese C, Neimanis S and Heber U (1999). Heat sensitivity of chloroplasts and leaves: Leakage of protons from thylakoids and reversible activation of cyclic electron transport. Photosynthesis Research, 59, 81-93.
- Cicek N and Cakirlar H (2002). Effects of plant growth regulators on growth and oil quality of canola (*Brassica napus* l.) under drought stress. Bulgarian Journal of Plant Physiology, 28, 66–74.
- Dat JF, Lopez-Delgado H, Foyer CH and Scott IM (1998). Parallel changes in  $H_2O_2$  and catalase during thermo tolerance induced by salicylic acid and heat acclimation of mustard seedlings. Plant Physiology, 116, 1351-1357.
- Delfine S, Alvino A, Villani MC and Loreto F (1999). Restrictions to carbon dioxide conductance and photosynthesis in spinach leaves recovering from salt stress. Plant physiology, 119, 1101–1106.

- Dordas CA and Sioulas C (2008). Safflower yield, chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, and water use efficiency response to nitrogen fertilization under rain conditions. Industrial Crops Production, 27, 75-85.
- Dwivedi SL, Upadhyaya HD and Hegde DM (2005). Development of core collection using geographic information and morphological descriptors in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) germplasm. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 52, 821-830.
- Farooq M, Wahid A, Lee DJ, Cheema SA and Aziz T (2010). Comparative time course action of the foliar applied glycinebetaine, salicylic acid, nitrous oxide, brassinosteroids and spermine in improving drought resistance of rice. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Sciences, 196, 336-345.
- Hajer AS, Malibari HS, Al-Zahrani HS and Almaghrabi OA (2006). Responses of three tamato cultivars to sea water salinity 1. Effect of salinity on the seedling growth. African Journal of Biotechnoligy, 5, 855-861.
- Halder KP and Burrage S (2003). Drought stress effects on water relations of rice grown in nutrient film technique. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 6, 441–446.
- Hasegawa PM, Bressan RA, Zhu JK and Bohnert HJ (2000). Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. Plant Molecular Biology, 51, 463-499.
- Hui-Jie Zh, Xue-Juan ZH, Pei-Fang M, Yue-Xia W, Wei-Wei H, Hong L and Yi-Dan Zh (2011). Effects of salicylic acid on protein kinase activity and chloroplast  $D_1$  protein degradation in wheat leaves subjected to heat and high light stress. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 31, 259–263.
- Janda T, Szalai G, Tari T and Paldi E (1999). Hydroponic treatment with salicylic acid decreases the effects of chilling injury in maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants. Plantarum, 208, 175-180.
- Joseph B, Jini D, Sujatha S (2010). Insight into the role of exogenous salicylic acid on plants grown under salt environment. Asian Journal of Crop Science, 2, 226-235.
- Kalaji MH and Guo P (2008). Chlorophyll fluorescence: a useful tool in barley plant breeding programs. In: Sanchez A, Gutierrez SJ, eds. Photochemistry Research Progress, Nova Publishers, NY, USA, 439–463.
- Kim YS, Lee CB (2001). The inductive responses of the antioxidant enzymes by salt stress in the rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Jornal of Plant Physiology, 158, 737-745
- Lovelli S, Perniola M, Ferrara A and Di Tommaso T (2007). Yield response factor to water (Ky) and water use efficiency of *Carthamus tinctorius* L.

and *Solanum melongena* L. Agricultural Water Management, 92, 73-80.

- Mady MA (2009). Effect of foliar application with salicylic acid and vitamin E on growth and productivity of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.) Plant Journal of Agricultural Science, 34, 6735-6746.
- Maxwell K and Johnson NG (2000). Chlorophyll fluorescence a practical guide. Journal of Experimental Botany, 51, 659–668.
- Metwally A, Finkmemeier I, Georgi M and Dietz KJ (2003). Salicylic acid alleviates the cadmium toxicity in barley seedlings. Plant Physiology, 132, 272-281.
- Munns R and Termaat A (1986). Whole plant responses to salinity. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, 13, 143–160.
- Norastehnia A, Sajedi RH and Nojavan-Asghari M (2007). Inhibitory effects of methyl jasmonate on seed germination in maize (*Zea Mays* L.): effect on amylase activity and ethylene production. General and Applied Plant Physiology, 33, 13-23.
- Noreen Z and Ashraf M (2009). Changes in antioxidant enzymes and some key metabolites in some genetically diverse cultivars of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Environmental and Experimental Botany, 67, 395-402.
- Papp JC, Ball MC and Terry N (1983). A comparative study of the effects of NaCl salinity on respiration, photosynthesis and leaf extension growth in *Beta vulgaris* (sugar beet). Plant Cell Environment, 6, 675–677.
- Pre' M, Atallah M, Champion A, De Vos MMJ, Pieterse C and Memelink J (2008). The ap<sup>2</sup>/erf domain transcription factor ora59 integrates jasmonic acid and ethylene signals in plant defense. Plant Physiology, 14, 1347–1357.
- Qiu D, Lin P and Guo SZ (2007). Effects of salinity on leaf characteristics and CO2/H2O exchange of *Kandelia candel* (L.) Druce seedlings. Journal of Forestry Science, 53, 13-19.
- Shi Q, Bao Z, Zhu Z, Ying Q and Qian Q (2006). Effects of different treatments of salicylic acid on heat tolerance, chlorophyll fluorescence and antioxidant enzyme activity in seedlings of *Cucumis sativa* L. Plant Growth Regulation, 48, 127-135.
- Singh B and Usha K (2003). Salicylic acid induced physiological and biochemical changes in wheat seedlings under water stress. Plant Growth Regulation, 39, 137-141.
- Strasser RJ, Srivastava A and Tsimilli-Michael M (2000). The fluorescence transient as a tool to characterize and screen photosynthetic samples.
  In: Probing Photosynthesis: Mechanisms, Regulation and Adaptation. Edited by M. Yunus, U.

Pathre and P. Mohanty. Taylor & Francis, London, 445–483.

- Strogonove BP, Kabanov VV, Lapina LP and Prykhodko LS (1970). Structure and fuction of plant cells under salinity conditions. Ist Edn., Nauka Publishing House, Moscow.
- Ullah F, Banu A and Nosheen A (2012). Effects of plant growth regulators on growth and oil quality of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) under drought stress. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 44, 1873-1880.
- Yildirim E, Karlidag H and Turan M (2009). Mitigation of salt stress in strawberry by foliar K, Ca and Mg nutrient supply. Plant, Soil and Environment, 55, 213–221.
- Walia H, Wilson C, Condamine P, Liu X, Ismail AM and Close TJ (2007). Large-scale expression profiling and physiological characterization of jasmonic acid-mediated adaptation of barley to salinity stress. Plant Cell Environment, 30, 410-421.